

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,881 12/12/2003		Fabian Kollmann	3201-365 (D4700-00379)	1030	
8933	7590 03	3/25/2005		EXAMINER	
DUANE M	ORRIS, LLP		PHILLIPS, CHARLES E		
IP DEPARTMENT ONE LIBERTY PLACE				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
PHILADELF	PHIA, PA 1910	3-7396		3751	
				DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	100			
		10/734,88	31	KOLLMANN ET AL.	(D)			
Office Action Summary			•	Art Unit				
		Charles E	. Phillips	3751				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	SS			
THE - External efter - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever cation. ays, a reply within the stat by period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a rep utory minimum of thirty (ill expire SIX (6) MONTH lication to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this commuNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status.								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☑ This action is n	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims			•				
5)□								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)	D)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ■ All b) ■ Some * c) ■ None of: 1. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ■ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	242	4) Interview Sur					
3) 🛛 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/23/04 &12/7/04</u> .			Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-15; .	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/734,881

Art Unit: 3751

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1: line 2, which element has the "hose"; line 4, "its fitting" lacks antecedent basis or is inferential. In claim 2, what does "without actuating any components" mean; in claim 9, "the slot" lacks antecedent basis; claim 10 possesses "runon" English and should be corrected; in claim 11, what is the "deformable element" and where is it disclosed and shown; in claim 13, what is the "tapering" and where is it shown; claim 16,"the guide-groove for the slides "lacks antecedent basis; in claim 9, where is the "undercut" shown?

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8, 10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gransow et al.

The rod is seen at 10, shower head at 24, hose at 20 and the guide 100 guides the hose from the fitting to the shower head at least to the vicinity immediately adjoining the rod, either as shown in Figure. 2 or as shown in Fig.1. The substance of claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10 are fully met by the structure here. Re: Claim 14, the cooperating shape of 210, 220 and the groove would meet this structure, as the latter is coextensive of 10.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/734,881

Art Unit: 3751

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gransow et al as set forth supra.

To provide for the groove to open forwardly rather than later laterally would have constituted an obvious expedient of choice in design.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gransow et al, as applied supra, in view of Cropelli.

To provide for a "pivotable" mount as taught here at 4 would have been an obvious expedient to the ordinary artisan.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the substance of claims 11-13 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering

Application/Control Number: 10/734,881

Art Unit: 3751

of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement

Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of

the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The

objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The substance of claims 5 and 15 would appear to be patentable with all 112

conditions used.

It is requested that applicant provide a copy of EP03028538.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Charles Phillips

at telephone number (571) 272-4893.

Primary Examiner